

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN**

Jill Tschirhart,

Plaintiff,

v.

Asset Recovery Solutions, LLC,

Defendant.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

Civil Action No.: 2:15-cv-10021

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

COMPLAINT & JURY DEMAND

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, Jill Tschirhart, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. This action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* ("FDCPA"), and the invasions of Plaintiff's personal privacy by the Defendant and its agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.

2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that the Defendant transacts business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

PARTIES

3. The Plaintiff, Jill Tschirhart ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Bay City, Michigan, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

4. Defendant Asset Recovery Solutions, LLC (“ARS”), is an Illinois business entity with an address of 2200 East Devon Avenue, Suite 200, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018, operating as a collection agency, and is a “debt collector” as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

5. ARS at all times acted by and through one or more of the collectors.

ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

A. The Debt

6. The Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation (the “Debt”) to an original creditor (the “Creditor”).

7. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meet the definition of a “debt” under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

8. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to ARS for collection, or ARS was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.

9. ARS attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in “communications” as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

B. ARS Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

10. Within the last year, ARS contacted Plaintiff on her work cellular telephone in an attempt to collect the Debt.

11. During a conversation, Plaintiff advised ARS that she could not accept calls on her work cellular telephone and provided ARS with her residential telephone number where she could accept ARS’ calls.

12. Despite the foregoing, ARS continued to call Plaintiff on her work cellular telephone at an annoying and harassing rate, making multiples calls within a single day.

C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

13. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendant's unlawful conduct.

14. As a direct consequence of the Defendant's acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.

COUNT I
VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

15. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

16. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(1) in that Defendant contacted the Plaintiff at a place known to be inconvenient for the Plaintiff.

17. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(3) in that Defendant contacted the Plaintiff at his place of employment, knowing that the Plaintiff's employer prohibited such communications.

18. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d in that Defendant engaged in behavior the natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, or abuse the Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt.

19. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5) in that Defendant caused a phone to ring repeatedly and engaged the Plaintiff in telephone conversations, with the intent to annoy and harass.

20. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f in that Defendant used unfair and unconscionable means to collect a debt.

21. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendant constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.

22. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendant's violations.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant:

1. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) against Defendant;
2. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A) against Defendant;
3. Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) against Defendant;
4. Punitive damages against Defendant; and
5. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: January 6, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Sergei Lemberg, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff Jill Tschirhart
LEMBERG LAW, L.L.C.
1100 Summer Street
Stamford, CT 06905
Telephone: (203) 653-2250
Facsimile: (888) 953-6237
Email: slemberg@leberglaw.com